

difference in his nature? Does that mean that the son does not have a human nature just because his father is king and he is subject to his father as king? No. It has nothing to do with nature whatsoever. It is an official difference. It is talking about the father in his office as king. His son, though the father and his son are of the same nature, is subject to him because of the office of king that the father holds.

It is the same way here. The administration of the kingdom is turned over to God the Father. He will be the king. The Son will be subject unto Him, but it is an official difference. It has nothing to do with nature whatsoever. Just as the father of a son can be a king and the son be subject unto him while still having the same nature as his father, so the Son can be subject unto God the Father and have the same nature as God the Father without that nature being violated in any way. And this is exactly what is going to happen. It will take place after the great white throne judgment when everything that is opposed to Jesus has been brought into subjection to Him. Then Jesus will deliver up the kingdom to God the Father. God the Father will reign in order that God the Father may be all, may be supreme in all--that is, in everything.

There is one other passage along this line that is used, and it is found in I Cor. 11:3. We will start with verse 2 so as to pick up the context, but I Cor. 11:3 is the key verse and it reads: "But I would have you know, that the head of every man is Christ; and the head of the woman is the man; and the head of Christ is God." You can see the argumentation very easily. "The head of Christ is God." If God is the head of Christ, how can Christ be equal to Him? How can you say that Christ is God when the Bible says that Christ has God as His head? "The head of Christ is God." How can Christ be God if God is the