

They said, Of course this isn't right! There was no question. When the 9 of us sat there and talked about what we should do, and different ones began they didn't like this and that, the people in the next room/<sup>in the hotel</sup>were hammering on the wall, Dr. English said Dr. Scofield's spirit knock<sup>in</sup>ing on the walls to what we are saying! But there's Dr. Mason who to many people is the very epitome of dispensationalism who was dean of PSOB so long, and the ~~ix~~ very first day Dr. Mason said, I've made a very great study of this matter of the kingdom of God, and the kingdom of heaven, and he said, I've come to the conclusion they are identical, no difference between them! To some people that is one of the terrible things about dispensationalism, they believe there is a difference between the kingdom of heaven and the kingdom of God. He'd come to the conclusion they were ~~x~~ identical. Well, when we came to consider that the majority felt there should be a difference in the notes, but there was a minority who felt that there should't be. We argued rather strongly, and then Dr. Mason said, Well, it's a Scofieldism, after all it's the Scofield Bible. It's a Scofieldism. But here he was the very one whom people would think he was the very epitome of dispensationalism and he probably gloried in the term dispensationalism. Ye that which some people would think was the very essence of ~~ix~~ dispensationalism. It's absurd, we take views ~~ix~~ on a lot of different things and we put them together and we give a label to it, then people say, it's this, it's this. They are not necessarily related! It's like the man in <sup>this pamphlet</sup> here is trying to prove two things: One, that premillennialism is very bad. Secondly, that those folks have never attacked premillennialism; it's dispensationalism they have attacked. Utterly illogical. But that's typical of the way people get when they get emotionally aroused. Their minds are apt to become clouded.