

unwilling to be connected with a seminary in which premillennialism was not made a primary point of teaching,...

Neher: He discusses you in the ~~pamphlet~~ pamphlet?

AAM. O yes. I say it's utterly false. I entered Westminster with the impression they would leave everybody free to believe what they wanted to on the millennial question, and I was perfectly happy to do it. But when I found -- I was teaching Hebrew and OT and until my last year ~~did~~ ^{did not} eschatology even enter! But when I found they were telling people they should give money to the seminary because two of their men were premillennialists, and then I found that in the classes certain of the teachers were undermining it attacking it and trying to destroy it, in such a way that most of the fellows were coming out hating premillennialism, I felt that was absolutely unfair! That made me feel that what I considered the illogical and unreasoning hatred of premillennialism led me to feel that if it is true it is of more importance than I had realized before. Why is there such a hatred of it? What is the reason for it? I've come to the conclusion the only reason for the hatred of ^{premillennialism} ~~pre~~ is that Satan knows it is true and that he hates it second only to his hatred of his doctrine of the atonement. He knows that on this very earth where he had his great victory that on this very earth his power is going to be completely negated. He hates that doctrine. That's a theory. It's purely theoretical. There's no proof for it, but there is such a ^{real} vilulent hatred of premil and there's no reason for it! Why should we care so tremendously whether what God is going to do when the Lord comes back? He'll do what he wants to. But I believe the Bible teaches what he is going to do. I don't see why others can't say, Well if that's what you think we think this, what difference does it make? Instead of that they are writing bitter books attacking premil.