

One attacks it with an argument; another attacks it with an exact opposite argument! Their arguments don't fit together. Their arguments use methods of Scripture interpretation which completely do away with Scripture as a solid foundation for anything. You take even a man like Warfield with the wonderful stuff he has written, in his article on premil, he says What is the millennium? He says, Seven is the number of perfection, three is the number of deity; seven and three makes ten; ten cubed is 1000; how could you express perfection more fully? So it's simply shows the perfection of the condition of the departed saints who are now in heaven! What junk? How can a great thinker like Warfield write such junk? Dr. Buswell said that as you read him, you had the impression of a great mind occupied with other things trying to dismiss an unfamiliar subject with as little thought as possible! But as fine a man and as excellent a Christian as Dr. Skilton, said to me years ago, I don't know exactly what that passage in Revelation means, but he said Dr. Warfield has explained it. I said, What did he say? He said, I don't remember what he said, but he fully explained it!

Neher: Did Warfield have a positive fx view which he defended with any more

AAM: Warfield was postmil of course. He believed ---

Well, Dr. Machen in his book on Christianity and Liberalism says the Millennium should not be a source of division among Christians. Of course, he says, I don't necessarily agree with Dr. Warfield and that every man, woman, and child on earth is going to be a Christian when Christ comes back, but I can't believe the Holy Spirit would be so ineffective that he would not convert most of the people in the world before Christ comes! Well, the Holy Spirit could convert everybody tomorrow if He chose. But the idea that the Holy Spirit will convert a few more in every generation until you