education of equivalent. They would do that about 10 times in the course
of the articles. But when they would refer to Princeton-- it was the
only Seminary they would refer to, you see-- when they referred to
it they had to admit there was a scholarly standard, but they down-
played that as much as they could. So the result was there were
students who went to Princeton from many different backgrounds--there
were students probably from 30 different denominations there who had
come for the solid Christian teaching and defense of Scripture, but
there was also at least half of the student body made up of these
fellows who were pretty well conditioned in collgge to take Christianity
pretty much as a veneer and as a job! Among them there was a certain
amount of drinking and a certain amount of lurid pictures on their
walls. I didnot have a great deal of contact with those fellows who
did that. But I think one thing that crystalized it--

Oh yes, first Dr. Machen was invited to preach in the First
Presbyterian Church of Princeton, as supply preacher, and he preached
some very strong sermons on the rise of modernism. Out in Los Angeles
we read in the newspaper how Henry VanDyke stalked out of the meeting
and said that what Machen was giving was a billious travesty of the
Gospel. Things were getting sort of tense there when I went there.
I got there, and we haxm had the Inagural address -- Incidentally
the faculy faculty later on told me, they were all upset because they
said Dr. Stevenson picked the speakers in all the main occasions and
they said the faculty was teaching one thing through the year, and
then they had the speakers who took a different tack altogether!
No direct clash, but it undermined it. But the Opening Address was
given by Dr. Zwemer, the veteran missionary from the Moslem lands.
I thought Dr. Zwemer gave a very fine presentation of a great many
central Christian truths. He stressed the great evangelical message
and witness and all that. Well, I guess it was later perhaps that I