

But I was working them out over a period of years, and I imagine Harris made some improvements. I don't know.

Neher: Actually Mr. Hardin's approach strikes us as much more systematic than even Dr. Harris' text book.

AAM: Does it?

Neher: I mean he boils it down into very standard looking rules.

AAM: I'm glad to hear that. What they told me was, when I went to Princeton. You take Hebrew and it's like going into a tunnel. For 6 months you are learning a lot of things and you have no idea what the bearing of any of it is, what it means, and eventually you come out at the end of the tunnel and it fits together and you see what it is.

I used to say, It's my job to make things clear. If there is anything that isn't clear, you ask me. I would take the main things and would say I want you to get these so clear that if I waked you in the middle of the night and asked you this question you could give it like that. Just the main thing, and then the incidental things, we picked up in the course of reading. We went much faster than Dr. Allis ever did but nothing like Dr. Wilson did.

We took an hour and we got off from Princeton on to Hebrew so.

Neher: Well, it relates to the teaching style.

AAM: Yes, the teaching style was abominable at Princeton. At Westminster it was much better than it had been at Princeton, because we had Machen and Allis. But it wasn't anywhere as near as good as it is here, I'm sure of that. We'd better stop.