

The whole matter. And to Allis too. Allis was really strong against the critics. He was very good on that. He had a sort of attitude. You might say it was <sup>separation</sup> ~~separation~~ carried to the extreme even though he never left the Presbyterian church U.S.A. His attitude was that he just wanted to keep away from those wicked people. His attitude, I think, he was so ready to make a big fuss over little minor points.

Davis had a chronology in his Davis Bible Dictionary in which he tried to fit the chronology with what we know of Assyro-Babylonian history. In order to do that he had a system of co-reigns. We know there were co-reigns. We know that kings occasionally made their sons kings with them, and consequently when you say that so-and-so reigned 50 years, and his son reigned 30 years, there is a strong possibility his son reigned during a good part of that time. According to the way Davis made it, Uzziah had 52 years reign. Then Jothan had a few years, and then Ahaz had about 20 years. Of course the Bible tells us that Uzziah was stricked with leoprasy. He made a wonderful start and then was stricked with leprosy. It tells us very little about Jothan actually. According to Dr. Davis' chronology, you had the 52 years going about 10 years and then Jotham's reign starting, and then ~~when~~ while Jotham was still king and Uzziah was simply an invalid, you had Ahaz made co-king. So that when Uzziah died, Ahaz was already king. And Dr. Allis got real upset at that. That deprived Jotham of any time as ruler by himself! He was really concerned for the honor of Jotham! Which made me laugh. He was an awfully nice fellow. But he could not see the wood for the trees, and that certainly hurt his influence greatly.

These questions are all good, but I think they need rather lengthy discussion. Would you rather I take them up or say something about Accidental?