

brought into the house of God. And a third of the people followed him when he made an impassioned speech about how terrible it would be to get a man who believed that. And they got somebody else who perhaps doctrinally wasn't anywhere near as good as this fellow and I asked my son just last week how this church was getting along. Well, he said, they are kind of rocking along but they are having their problems.

It should be that people who are theologically trained getting together to consider the problem of keeping the teaching sound on a sane area, not making too much of little things, but putting their stress on the main thing, should be able to do a better job than is done by the comparatively small group in the church that usually make the vote in most churches.

There is a big independent church over here, the Baracah church, a very fine church. They were in a part of the city that had greatly deteriorated. Most of the people were coming 5 and 10 miles, etc. Ten years ago they had a chance to buy a fine property in a suburb, and there was plenty of money in the church to build a fine church there. I think they had 800 members and they had a meeting to consider the matter. I think they had 80 out. 80 out of about 800. So 40 could make a majority, and so much to the disgust of the pastor the majority voted to stay where they were. The result was that in the next 5 years, the people just drifted around, so 5 ~~xxx~~ years ~~xxxx~~ later there were maybe 500 instead of 800. Then someone succeeded in persuading them to go and get the property that they wanted to get before but they probably had to pay 50% more for it now. But they moved out and I think they are doing a good work now. But there are problems both ways, and I think our time is about all we'd better take now.