

conclusion. Dr. VanTil says you can't prove God's existence; it's not use to try. There's no way to prove it, you are just supposed to presuppose it. But you don't presuppose there is a God and learn of him from the Bible; you presuppose the triune God, the God of the Bible, the whole thing. I don't see why you might not just as well presuppose Buddhism or Mohammedanism if you are going to do this.

This fellow has not gone that far. He's accepted VanTil's idea-- you must presuppose it; you assume it, therefore we know the Bible is true. I've got a book by him here someone sent me just recently. It has big letters above it-- THE BIBLE IS TRUE. Of course that's fine. But he carries the theory a logical step further. If we presuppose the triune God of the Bible is true, we might as well presuppose the KJV is absolutely correct. So he got a book out 30 years ago called THE KJV DEFENDED. In the preface he explains it really wasn't the KJV, it was the Textus Receptus he was taking about! But the Greek text back of the KJV. He will take up any verse that is in the R Textus Receptus of which there may be only one MS and he will make a very conclusive argument that of course God would not allow error to have come. We can know that He would not, so we can know that this is correct. In a way all of our MSS are wrong but this God has preserved His Word correctly. I'm sure Dr. VanTil would be horrified of such an attitude toward the MSS, but to my notion it is simply carrying it to a logical result. He just flopped over like Seeley did.

People differ. We're all weak human beings who can depend on on the Lord. It's only the mercy of the Lord that any of us stands. I fell it's our duty to get the training that will strengthen us and enable us to serve the Lord, rather than a training that will break up down. Anything that we submit ourselves to of unChristian teaching,