

to
 the particular views they had taken. But/most people I don't think it's natural to do that. The result is, well the fellow I told you about who came to Occidental -- a strong conservative and who left there a strong liberal. The way he belittled for going to Princeton, and talked about when I was up in Alaska where it was frozen and cold, he knew what he wanted, etc. There was a feeling and an emotion there which doesn't fit with reasonable exchange of ideas. So I think we should recognize there are a great many things in which we ought to be ready to compare ideas and say, I don't know. I would say that anything God has not revealed, we don't know. I find most people go to the Scripture and: Does it teach this? or does it teach that? Which does it teach? And ^{you find} if it doesn't teach this that's pretty good proof it teaches that, you know in most cases! And I feel that we should always go to it with the question: Does it teach this? Does it teach that? or Does it not give an answer to this particular question? Because God can't give us an answer to every question in the course of one volume. I don't think it's His purpose to. He gives us the truth that we need. There is much more truth in it than we immediately grasp. We can keep finding new truths, but I believe we are == that one of the greatest mistakes we can make is to jump to conclusions.

I would say that in the case of 90% at least of people who turned to modernism, it is like a disease, or an infection, or like a warfare. But I would recognize that there are individuals who with great sorrow have been forced to the conviction that they ~~are~~ have been wrong, and have changed their minds hoping to do so. And in many cases those were people who were really saved, and I think will be saved in the end; but will perhaps have done a tremendous lot of damage along the way. I believe there are 10 students turned away from orthodox Christianity to modernism by ridicule for every