

of Directors meeting, and the Directors turned against both of them. Then he felt that it was proper administration that whatever the Directors decided on any issue on which there was not a vital principle involved, his place as president was to carry out what the directors said. So the result was that he got the blame from both groups! So they all turned on him.

They may not have been exactly contradictory groups. There may have been some of them overlapping, but in general there was the group for this one that was against the other, and the group for that one and against this, and they both turned against him. So at the meeting of the Board he withdrew from the Presidency. They had a big headline in the ~~Wheat~~ Wheaton College paper how Dr. Buswell had resigned, he'd been president 13 years and the college has tripled in size, doubled its enrollment while he was there, etc. I got there to speak, just a month after he was dropped. He'd made the appointment. The feeling of most of the students was very much anti(Buswell). The result was I ^{had} ~~had~~ less people come to talk to me about the seminary that year than any year before or since. Because of the feeling all through. I guess those who were for the coach blamed him for the coach being dropped; those who were for the other blamed him for that! It was a sad situation. I wrote a letter to Dr. Stam who was then director of their conservatory of music, and I wrote a letter just a couple of months before that telling him how I wished that I could simply come in --if I wasn't tied up with other things -- I wished that I could be an instructor in Wheaton in a rather unimportant position where I could mix with people and point out to them Dr. Buswell's excellencies and try to change this attitude some, but it was very unfortunate. He was a grand man and did a tremendous lot, but he