

not with the best of feeling. My feeling was that what actually happened was he was really giving the gospel in a very effective way and he did a great work with a rather small group which he built into a rather large church. I think the people simply loved him, and they loved him and would not admit to themselves that they were getting tired of hearing the same voice all the time. The result was that when they got really tired of it, they began criticizing him for all kinds of little things, and finally if he'd only left 5 years earlier. I think in most cases 10 years is quite enough for anybody to stay in a church nowadays.

I had a great great great grandfather who, they say, preached for 70 years in the same church and only missed 7 Sundays in the whole 70 years for any reason whatever, in the pulpit. But now days people won't stand for that. But with Presbyterian churches all over the country and with easy ways of finding out what churches were vacant or where there were particular needs, and getting in touch with them and when you had people moving into different parts of the country recommending them to another good Christian ministry in that area knowing who was there and what the situation was, there is tremendous advantage. We hated to give up that advantage. The modernists had crept in, they looked for toleration, and pleaded for toleration; there is room in the church for all viewpoints and all that sort of thing. That was their attitude until they got control. Once they got control their attitude was you have got to do what we say or you are out. The thing was developing during those years. I was a member of the Presbytery of Philadelphia, of which the body of church were mostly=== the bulk of the ministers were sound, godly men ministers. There may have been 100 in the Presbytery.