

emphases in his ~~bx~~ preaching are the same emphases as in the Reformers, in Luther and Calvin on the sovereignty of God and on the divine activity in saving souls, etc. They had 25 entering students and a large group of them-- I can't say it was as many as 10-- but it was at least as many as seven, it may have been 10 -- who were fellows from Methodist churches that Sloan sent to the new seminary because he wanted them to get the strong solid indoctrination for the Scripture. Many of these fellows became Presbyterians eventually. Sloan did not continue very long to support the seminary. We had in the first year a wonderful unity as far as I could see. At the end of the first year, at the beginning of the second, Dr. R.D. Wilson died. They got out a little pamphlet that second year called Our Faculty. I came across a copy of it yesterday. I wanted to show it to you. But I did not see it this morning when I looked for it. But it has a statement about Dr. VanTil which I had never read until yesterday and it really shocked me what it said.

I don't think it is really an accurate representation of his viewpoint but I think if I'd read it I would have been shocked. I did not realize the difference then. It was only after two or three years that I began to find students coming there who knew students who within a couple of months after they got there were having big arguments about Limited Atonement. They said, You can't be here without immediately becoming aware of where you stand on the Limited Atonement. About that time we got ~~only~~ a letter from a pastor of a Presbyterian church who said, I have heard that you folks stand for Limited Atonement! He said, I've had a couple of students attend the seminary; I don't know whether I should continue to support it! Then we had two or three long faculty meetings discussing it, and R. B. Kuiper who taught Systematic Theology,