

alcoholic beverage nor would he smoke at all.

Neher: How did the rumour get started about the liquor interest? Do you have any idea?

AAM: I don't know. It is not impossible that his brother who was a lawyer in Baltimore might have had at some time a client who was a brewer or something. I don't know. I don't know that he ever did. I don't know how it got started. But they were ready to start anything about Machen. I remember one person saying, Machen is no gentleman; he walked down the street; he met a woman he knew and never even spoke to her!" Well, you might say he was often absent minded thinking of some problem, and might not have seen her. They spread any kind of stories about him.

At Westminster there were those who were against drunkenness but they were strong on their personal liberty to drink and to smoke and made a big issue of it. I never heard Machen enter into that. He was very loyal to his associates. When they were attacked he felt as if he were personally attacked.

I have a copy of the Literary Digest that has an article and picture of Machen and Erdman next to each other. As I look at it it looks as though they have the poorest picture of Machen they could get and the best of Erdman they could get. When you read the article you can see how it's slanted. People were being brainwashed in most of our universities long before the rank and file of people had any idea there was any such thing, as modernism. Most of our great universities were founded by people who had as its purpose the training of those who would stand for the gospel. That was true of Harvard, of Yale, of Princeton. Cornell was one exception. Most of them were. Then when men teaching in these universities began to be led into unbelief, and the people who were giving money to these universities and supporting