

with John Murray and Murray Forrest Thompson, a young man whom they called a member of the Philadelphia Bar. He was a young lawyer. They got out articles on the legitimacy of the IBPFPM signed by Murray Forrest Thompson, a member of the Philadelphia Bar. I remember some people say, Why on earth do they get a young skipper like that to write them? Why don't they get Mr. Bennet or one of these prominent lawyers who is actively concerned in these trials? But Dr. Machen said, How do we know they would write what we want to be written, so we'd better get him. He was 100% with them on whatever they said right down the line. A nice chap.

I was walking with Murray and Thompson and they spoke about this Independent Board. Membership of the Board was very bad board! Very bad board! See they had gotten men who were known Presbyterians who were known for their loyalty to the Scriptures and were ready to stand for it and suffer for it. The overwhelming majority of the people they had gotten were premillennialists. Of course nobody ever worried about that before. Merrill MacPherson was Vice President of the Board for several years and intimately concerned with them, but now, you see, they were concerned. They said, that is a very bad board. They said, Look at it. One of them drew out a list of the members of the IBPFPM. Let's look at it, and see all the premillennialists and dispensationalists on the board! So they said, This man is a premillennialist. This man is a dispensationalist. This man is a premillennialist. This man a dispensationalist. etc. I did not say anything, but I racked my brains to find any basis on which to distinguish between premil and dispensationlist. The only basis I could see was that the ones they called premil were men or women who believed in premillennialism but did not particularly care. But those who thought premillennialism was