

very minor suggestions about the statement I gave to the Board and which was printed in the press.

I think I already mentioned to you the fact that I said to E. J. Young shortly after I'd resigned there, he said to me, I don't hold anything against you but I'd like to twist Carl's neck (or something like that). I didn't like that because it sounded as if I was merely a puppet of McIntire's which I certainly was not. It was entirely my decision. He (Carl) barely looked over my statement, and made a couple of small suggestions. I felt very definitely I was doing what I thought was right in leaving there.

When after I left there, there was a student who had been at Westminster a little and had been up in Canada for a time, and come back and he was there the last year. I did not know him particularly well. Seemed like a nice fellow. After I resigned I got a letter from him saying what a change had come in the seminary, since he'd been there before, during those 3 or 4 yrs. in between, and now how different the whole attitude was of students and faculty.

It just backed up what I'd said in my resignation completely. So I gave the letter to McIntire suggesting he print it in the Beacon. He said, Oh we don't want to be knocking all the time. He didn't print it. But they had put in the Beacon a cleverly worded statement by R. B. Kuiper's son-in-law which claimed to be the view of the whole student body, though most of them had never seen it, which very cleverly gave the impression everything I said was a lie! It did not actually say so but gave that impression very strongly.

Then the old Christianity Today (which is different from the present Christianity Today) and I think other magazines printed Dr. MacRae's statement ^{that}, "the student's statement" contradicting me. So it made me look as if I was completely wrong.

I thought Detler's statement was a pretty strong support that that wasn't the case. Well, McIntire wouldn't print it. It was only a few months later when after their attack on me became very strong that he printed that in the Beacon.

I mention that here to show his continuing loyalty to them. He was gradually moving into different attitudes to some extent, than he'd taken before.

1 I heard him say as late as maybe 1955 (or '60) that it took three years to get a change made in the Collingswood church. And in those days I'm sure he was discussing matters slowly and carefully and presenting his ideas. He gradually changed to becoming more forthright that what I believe is right and you must follow me right straight through the line. And wanting people to do what he wanted right away instead of taking the time to discuss things and go into them.

I think that change in him partly came about because of his --well, for two reasons I would say. One was he started so many new things. He did so many things that no one person could possibly