

by trying to move one two or three of these levels at ~~once~~ once. In the Intellectual sphere. Now in the spiritual sphere, it is most important to lead the one to put his faith in Christ. That is primary, and most essential.

But I was thinking of the intellectual sphere. The first thought is what can I do to help this person? But then the thought is How is this person injuring others? If he is leading others away from a true belief in Christ, then it -- then I want to do what I can to help those people to see the errors. See what I mean. It's easy for us to get the attitude of two people in the army -- two competing armies. That sort of thing. And there are areas where that figure has a validity. But I think there are a great many areas where it does not. Where it just does injury. Doesn't help.

Those are a few ideas I though would be worth putting down and see if they can be developed further.

Do you have any further questions along those lines, or should we go back to the matter of the history of Faith.

Neher: I'd be interested perhaps in a little more explanation of the difference between the first two groups. How it is that some some people have a lazy hedonistic approach, and how it is that other people who still are not withink the structure that you have named, both of these would not be people who have a belief in God. Of course there are many in both groups who do, but considering them from purely - -

Of course you might say that the second group in a way was through the whole sphere. You find people who believe in everything and who are quite lethargic, and people who are really accomplishing something. I just meant that the people with whom I naturally tend to have least empathy are not only the people who have no faith, but the people who are not really doing anything.

I am so built I feel a certain empathy with anyone who is really trying to ~~x~~ accomplish anything even though I amnot deeply in favor of the end he is working toward. I feel a sympathy toward his activity and his accomplishments, even if not particularly toward his end. But you would find that difference, of course, all the way through. The reason is -- we have to think, I think in every one of us whatever we do can be divided into three categories.

There is what is the result of our genes. We inherit certain characteristics, and those characteristics if nothing else entered in you could tell exactly what a person is going to be if you could know exactly what their genes were. Of course other things do enter in. But this is very important.

Then there is also in every one there is great importance in the influences that have~~zbx~~ come to bear on them. We all are greatly affected by our childhood influences. By the good influences of someone who has aroused ideas and led us in a certain direction.