

and also I think they were each 3 hrs. a week, something like that. The students felt very grateful for the material he gave them in the criticism, but they did not feel that he was much at discussing exegesis and that sort of thing.

So I talked with two members of the faculty about what we should do about it. We discussed it, and I'm not sure how much it was their idea or how much mine. We reached the conclusion we should divide those courses each of them into two courses. One of which would be the introduction, and would deal with the critical problems and let Eppard teach that. Then we would have a course in exegesis which Dr. Harris would take over.

After I talked with these two fellows and they agreed on it that was what we should do, I said, You make a motion to that effect in faculty meeting. Oh, said one of them, We're just a committee advising the president; we're not going to make any such motion.."

I went to Dr. Eppard and told him we'd like to try making this new division. I told him as nicely as I could. He wasn't very happy about it. We would try it this way, and that's the way we kept on. We just simply did it. Then I heard later on indirectly how one of these two faculty members who had talked with me and was very strong about how a change ought to be made, he was criticizing me for years because of having gone behind Eppard's back and made these changes, etc. How did he know what I might do behind his back?

You learn something about human nature when you try to do any kind of administration. One question is how much you want to say about individuals particularly as anybody hearing it would know the individuals, yet within a few years those individuals will just be names and I think the principles are perhaps of value in getting something preserved. The principles involved.

I guess there is no harm in mentioning, --- Oh, yes this fellow who criticized me ~~for~~ for that, I did not know till later how he did it but evidently he did a lot for other people. He and I always seemed to get along very well, but I used to get terribly irritated at him because he would tell me how terrible some other faculty member was doing, and how they ought to teach beginning Greek differently than they did, etc. He was constantly criticizing to me. It was only after he left I found out that when he was talking to the others he was criticizing me the same way.

He was so fiery on what he stood for; what he thought on things that it really was disappointing. After he left us and taught in three or four other places, and he's one whom I was hoping would go on into prophets and ~~also~~ really dig into it and he didn't. But I was able to keep a friendly relationship with him. Through the years he got his interests in a different area. He worked in that area, and did a really effective Christian work and built an institution that may continue for years.