

not a blast furnace at all; it was a storage place for grain and the ~~walled~~ holes that were to let the air through are places where there were wood supports coming through.

As proof of that they say there is a similar formation of a building somewhere in India. That is not very good proof. Most people who have studied these things in recent years under the archaeologists are simply told that is a fact. The liberals said it was wonderful how Gleuck toward the end of his life was so ready to give up cherished ideas when the evidence was cited against him. Well, I think Gleuck was so busy with so many things at the end of his life, that he did not find the time to examine these evidences again and make sure that what he said originally was right.

Of course he may have been wrong. I have not gone into them sufficiently to be a first-hand authority on it, and I don't have access to Gleuck's, and even if you did have access to his material without having been on the spot to see it . . . But everybody considered him a very competent man. But anything that supports the Bible, you have a force in the world which I think is a demonic force that is trying to undermine and destroy it.

I don't think that evidence is sufficient in the case of Tyre to undermine it, but I don't think anything has been published, as far as I have come across, in defending paleo-Tyre. When I hit that argument I went into it and found enough evidence to convince me that it was wrong, but I have not gone further.

You see the difficulty with the fulfilled prophecy matter of writing a whole book on it. I think it would be very simple to write a book like Stoner did, that the average ignorant person could read and say it was marvellous! But to write a book that would be really scholarly, I think I could write 50 or 60 pages that would be solid dealing with just these things. But to get into a lot of things that are highly -- uncertain or might be coincidences, or where there are statements made that you would have to check very thoroughly, that is a big job.

To my mind the approach which it seems to me ought to be worked out (I've got to see this book of Segan's) but to my mind (I said to Eckelmann) if Segan said, There is no person there; it is just electro-magnetic forces working, what makes him want to convince us of it! Why should those magnetic -- electro-magnetic forces want to make us believe that that is all there is? Why should those electro-magnetic forces be pleased when the book sells well and he gets an income from it? In other words, to be alerted to these & glib quotations showing the extreme view to which the evolutionary and materialistic viewpoint ~~is~~ tend, and then to take those and write up clearly and strongly what they mean as far as the existence of the personality is concerned, almost any human being would admit that I make choices! They say, electro-magnetic forces make me & go this way instead of that way, but how do electro-magnetic