

giving lectures on Apologetics which he'd been giving in big churches and charging \$50 or something like that to have it. He felt it was very effective. I guess it's better to be connected with an organization than to be just a free-lance on that sort of thing.

Neher: He had a whole tape series out eventually, and once the tapes are available you are not going to spend \$50 to go hear him. You can buy the tapes cheaper and have them forever. So the money aspect would be pressing on him.

I see so from a financial viewpoint it wouldn't work. Though I'm sure if the lectures are as good as he says, a great many people might have the tapes but they would be willing to give say \$10 to hear them actually given. But not \$50 and perhaps the \$10 would not be enough to support him. I guess he needs a base of operation. I noticed a review in Christianity Today that was by Montgomery and it calls him Professor at large, in Melodyland. What does Professor at large mean? I don't know.

Neher: We think he's there that much. I think that's what it means.

Oh I see. That he travels around and just has a connection but does not teach any particular area.

Neher: I know somebody that took some church history with him but it was not over a great long period, like a regular semester. I could be wrong there.

I said to Eckelman he had his illness just at the time of the ICC meeting because he'd told me he was planning to go to it, and I was hoping he would. I was interested to have him reestablish contact with a lot of the people he'd known in a lot of the previous years he had gone to it. I'd like to have had his reaction to it. I said, I wonder if your reaction would have been like it was to the meeting in Scotland you went to. He said of that meeting it was mostly gush, and that it was on a very low level.

Of course McIntire said, They don't represent anybody but themselves. It wasn't representing churches like the ICC and he kind of ran the thing down. Eck said to me at that time, Why if McIntire had gone he could have taken the whole thing over. He could have given a message that would have been so superior to just about anything else there. But he said today, It's too bad the way McIntire has gone. That he is putting his stress entirely on his opposition which is good, but it should not be the main thing. That's where he is putting his stress and he's making such a narrow area. It's very easy to get into the attitude here is what I believe on 1000 things; I'm interested in people being just exactly like me, on all these things. And anybody who is out of it he'd be bad. That's what McIntire does, and what a great many other people do.