

I spoke last time about my leaving Faith. I had to speak some there about Dr. McIntire's feelings (dealings) and it makes me sad when I think of those directors there who were a group of fine Christian men and most of them active and effective Christian workers, but seeing the terrible menace of modernism and the attacks of unbelief and then rallying to the support of a man who was taking a strong stand against it, all of which was fine, then to completely surrender their intelligence to him to the point where they absolutely did whatever he said. That to me was a very sad thing.

That to me was sadder than almost than the way it came out. It is sad to think of the great stand McIntire has made against unbelief and against communism which is very worthwhile, tho I think he gives a greater emphasis to it than a Christian worker should. But I think what he says about it is right, and he has brought to light a lot of things that need to be brought to light. But I don't think that as a man whose primary object is to serve the Lord Jesus he should give quite as much attention to it in his activities as he does.

Itt's very sad that a man who does that should take such an absolutist attitude. He probably developed that attitude much more than I realized during the years that I -- and I tried to (when he took extreme positions) I tried to write him a letter and tone him down a little. But he did not interfere with the seminary. I would say that our teaching was just about exactly what it is here. Maybe we had a little more emphasis on the wickedness of modernism than we do here. I think we have pretty solid emphasis on that. I surely hope so.

I thought afterwards. I would like to forget all those events. I hated to have had to dredge them up ~~x~~ in my mind and to try to recall them. But having done so I think I should take time to go just a little further in what happened latter. In 1956 in that division, Dr. McIntire had taken a stand for independent agencies, and I felt that was a correct stand. I ~~wrote~~ wrote for it, but I did it on purely an impersonal basis-- on the basis of principle.

When we formed a new seminary we certainly felt that we were in line with the idea that we had the right to form an independent agency, and to ask for support and approval and not be interfered with in doing it. But-- and I was a member of the Bible Presbyterian presbytery. So in the presbytery motions were proposed affirming the right of independent agencies and affirming the individual freedom of members of presbytery. Every time one like that was proposed Dr. Faucette would get up and say, What's behind this? What is the purpose of this? Why do we ~~xx~~ need to reaffirm this? This is in our constitution. Why state it? And it was voted down? Voted down a reaffirmation of the things that had always been stood for and were stated in the constitution.

Dr. Vaucette was 1000% loyal to Dr. McIntire. Anything Dr. McIntire said was right, and when he was registrar there when
== f ==