

in the church. (These are often men who have done excellent work in class, but who do not seem able to make a good impression in an examination.) It is really very difficult, from an examination alone, to make a real judgment.

Agreeing as thoroughly as I do with the great central purposes of your letter, and knowing that Mr F. agrees with them just as much as I do, I feel that I regret very much the discouragement that has come to him from the whole experience, and the wrong impression that some have received of him, and that he has received of the attitude of our ... Church.

Please forgive me for writing at this length, but I believe that the matter is one of very great importance, since I thoroughly agree with your fundamental purpose in the letter, and with your enthusiastic desire to raise the level of presbytery examinations. I look forward with anticipation to Christian fellowship with you at Synod in the near future.

LETTER 94
1962

MARXISM

Ever since reading your column in the Philadelphia Bulletin for Thursday, January 18, which was headed "Conceptual Wall of Marxism More Stubborn Than Berlin," I have been intending to send you my reaction.

First, I would like to give you a word of encouragement. The column described your frustration at the inability of the visiting Russian economists to see the logical results of the information that you spread before them. In the face of all the facts that you presented, they still refused to admit that democracy and private