

was true or false. However, these people have reached the conclusion that it is true and are no longer interested in testing the data. They are convinced of the theory. That is human nature. Whatever view people take, their tendency is to see the argument for their side as very big and thus minimize the arguments against it, so as not to notice them much.

I feel that we advance the cause of the Lord in the end by getting an objective attitude that can see the real strength of arguments we do not agree with, and then can find stronger arguments to answer them, rather than brush things aside simply because we do not agree with them. I feel that in the end we serve the Lord more effectively if we try to get a really objective attitude. The liberals talk about an objective attitude and an open mind, but actually most of them are extremely narrow. On things like this, their minds are made up, and they will not listen to anything else. I believe we advance the Lord's cause by seeing exactly what the evidence is; where we find strong points, let us recognize them; and then let us see if we can find evidences to show where they are wrong. When they advance weak points, let us show them what the facts are, to demonstrate that their argument is weak at that point.

If you talk with one of their graduates and ask him, "What makes you think there are these different documents?" He will probably say, "Look at the difference in style." Some will even say, "It is as great as the difference between Chaucer and modern English." The teachers will not say that, but some of the students will. Nobody can truly say that it is as great as that! But they will say that there is a great difference in style, and claim that when you separate them you find that each has the complete story. So it is good to know just how incomplete each document is, and to be able to show points at which it is particularly incomplete. I would think that if we point out these things it might very well raise serious questions in their minds, whether the entire thing was not worthy of a more careful examination than they had ever given it before.

I would like to read a few statistics regarding the continuity of P. According to Driver's analysis (see pages "Driver's Analysis of