

attitude of Christ toward it. It is the fact that He set the seal of His approval upon it that settles the matter once for all for us. That is certain, and when He set the seal of His approval upon what the Jews of His day believed in, and we know what they believed, we know that they had come to a unanimity of opinion by that time, in the period about three centuries after the, much more than three after the writing of the last of the books of the O. T., it was His concern to set the seal of His approval upon them, we cannot go back and ask who wrote the books, whether they were prophets or not, whether they were true prophets or false prophets, we have no way of investigating, but we do have the seal of Christ's approval, and that settles the matter. So as far as the O. T. canon is concerned there is no need to worry about the details of authorship as far as knowing what books are true is concerned. Now I went ahead and extended the matter to the N. T. with the idea that the same principles seemed to apply there, that there is no N. T. clear expression in other principles, probably an implication that the principle that there is an exact similarity between the two situations, and that it gives us an answer to the problem which relieves us of any necessity of having our faith in these particular N. T. books hang upon an historical investigation as to who was the author of the books. That is definitely an objective question. If we ....2....to the N. T. Now, I do not mean to feel that I am extremely anxious for you to take my view on this as far as the N. T. is concerned, in the first place it is outside the scope of this course. This is a course in O. T. Introduction. I merely touch upon it by the way. But perhaps more important than that, while it impresses me as a very satisfactory answer to the problem, it is one which I have worked out in my own study, I haven't come across it, at least expressed the way I have, in any book, what's more I don't know as I have come across it in a book anywhere. And it is a fact that such a great man as Dr. Warfield takes a very different view. And the N. T. is far more his field than ~~my field~~ my field. He says that apostolicity determines canonicity. And many Christian people have found satisfaction in the acceptance of the entire N. T. as we have it as the Word of God, because of this statement, apostolicity determines canonicity. Personally, I can't see how it is applicable. I mentioned the difficulties of it