

whether his way of saying it would be the way I would say it - working largely upon in the OT°, it depends/who is speaking for a different audience. If it is solidly ~~is~~ proven for the OT°, and established there, then our answer would be, "Well, if it's proven here you ought to apply it in these others." But if in this field it's not proven, but they are taking over something that was done fifty years ago or a hundred years ago when that was the fashion, and they haven't really any solid proof that it is required in the OT°, then it is added evidence of how little foundation they have that people finding similar phenomena elsewhere do not find it necessary to draw the same sort of conclusions from similar phenomena, and it is evidence/~~that these~~ OT° /men who are teaching this are not teaching ~~anything~~ something that they have ~~proven~~ proven or that they have proof for, but simply something they are retaining that used to be done. And it's a carry-on of something that ~~it~~ is out-of-date, that is unproven. They are very, very determined; they are very tenacious in it. But they /have no new evidence and the old evidence is largely disproved and the biggest thing they can say is, "Well, we must do the same way they do in all literature." But they don't do it in other literature. And that doesn't prove that it's wrong, but it does prove that all these people who are working in other fields of ~~literary~~ literature don't think it's right; they don't think it's the way to do it, or they would they'd be doing it too. ? Bryant ? has done a great deal of study in among reading the <sup>works</sup> ~~works~~ of OT° scholars. But whether / he's done any work in the literary field, outside of the Biblical field I don't know. And when he ~~says~~ says "the scholarly field" he means the field of scholarly OT° study, and he doesn't expect anything to sweep ~~that~~ that; but that is from a literary viewpoint only a portion of a very large field, ~~including~~ including the classics, from which this came originally, including the Yewdall (?) studies, including all / sorts of things, and they have been swept(?), but there's ~~this this~~ this about it, that the sweeping of them in this regard has been a ~~quite~~ quiet thing - the word "sweep" is too strong. In OT° they have been holding this so ~~tenaciously~~ tenaciously and presenting it so strongly that it