

9 $\frac{1}{2}$  setting up of the church now and if you intrepret it that way, it is easy to see how those Jews there who were listening to him who knew Amosth and knew the prophecies would say yes that shows that what he is doing is exactly what we might expect . He is taking a Gentile people for his name to be along side the Jews who believe on his name, so there will be the two people at his return and if we make them all Jews, there will only be one, therefore it is only reasonable we should not say that they have to be circumsised. So you see, this passage here this passage here looked at carefully instead of superstitiously does not support any views that the kingdom of the Old Testament becomes the church~~X~~ of this (10 $\frac{1}{2}$ ) and that the quote predictions about a great kingdom are to be fullfilled in the church. It does not but on the contrary, ~~It~~ intrepreted carefully it fits in just exactly with what the Scofield Bible says not that this is the most important truth in the New Testament of premillennialism that is an utter misintrepretation, of that footnote. That is not what the footnote says, what the footnote means here in the Scofield Bible is that this statement here gives us a clear step by step picture of ~~a~~ events in this age . Perhaps more definiately than any other passage in the New Testament. It shows the calling out of the Gentiles the calling out of the people for his name and then his return and his setting up again the (11) . So if you intrepret you have to exegetiate words of note as well to exegete words of the Bible you mustn't jump to obvious insuperstitious intrepretation of them but the **claw** of the intrepretation is Actually quite well expressed ~~here~~ then in this footnote in the Scofield Bible. Now of course I know, you don't feel anymore than I do that all the footnotes in the Scofield Bible are inspired they are places where they contradict themselves. as there are in any human work but this **is** is an instance where a verse is alleged to prove one view and scientifically and carefully examined, it proves the exact opposite. I don't think we advance the cause of Christ by jumping at <sup>ficial</sup> ~~superstitious~~ intrepretations of any of it. I find in my classes that there (11 3/4)