

theory, if the English people all decided they didn't want Winston Churchill would be gotten out <sup>?</sup> (8) . There are many people who feel that the English system, the system (8)( whereby

Actually the people who hold that impression leave out of the account one of the most important factors. That is this--that the people do not all consider all the various matters involved. They can't, and consequently if the government is going to <sup>be</sup> run easily (8 1/2) you have to have people who are especially , and that the people do not all reach the same conclusion. That is the people do not have just one matter on which they decide. People have different matters on which they decide. Do you want a certain man for ruler, whether you want a man with black hair or brown hair. What you want is a man who will rule in a good way. Well, a good way is a way which is satisfactory (9 1/2) to you. A farmer would like a man who would , and a city worker would like a man who would ? cheap meat and cheap bread. What are we going to do to see whether we have a majority of farm people or city people. If the majority is one way we'll have expensive meat, and if the other way have cheap meat? That wouldn't help the situation any. That wouldn't help the farmers and it wouldn't help the city folk either. (10) So the United States system, the theory of it, is not well understood.

The theory of it is far superior to it actually to carry out the needs of the country than the British system. The people in the U.S. vote for <sup>different</sup> particular representatives, and the result is that the representation which you get may not correspond in one instance as accurately as the British system (10 1/2)

But may I put it more compactly by saying that it corresponds much more accurately on particular things that the government is now doing. You take a person here in the U.S. and he votes for the president of the U.S. What does he vote on? He votes on national (11) issues and he has an idea of what or he votes for a senator (11)

and the result is that in that senators' election you have the particular needs of that state represented. *For* your country, *for* instance, had a majority of people who live in sections where there is no mining, *maybe* the result is that there may be *no* one interested in the mining welfare.