

The Kaiser was present and most of the ambassadors and other prominent people of Berlin were present. Frederick Delitzsch gave a lecture on Babylon and the Bible in which he showed two or three coroborations of the Bible from Babylon, but then ~~we~~ he went on to show things in the Bible that were similar to Babylon. Like he read the Babylonian story of the flood. He said , Here you have story ~~that's the original of the Biblical story only~~ ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ he said it is much superior because in the Babylonian story ~~only~~ Noah looks out and sees all mankind having perished in the flood and weeps for them. He said, there is no compassion for them in the Biblical story at all, and thus he went on to try to show how superior everything in Babylon was to the Bible. They said for the next week in Berlin everybody was discussing, Is Delitzsch right? You could see the cab drivers waiting around for fares and they were arguing about it. Everywhere you went the discussion was about Babylon and the Bible and you might say it is what Bultman made so much of, the demythologizing of the Bible, getting rid of the myths and legends in ~~XXX~~ it and they claim that the Biblical is based on the Babylonian. There has been many things written to show ~~na~~ how much of the Bible came allegedly from the Babylonian. There is a story of creation in Babylon, so many will say the Biblical story is derived from ~~thè~~s story of creation. Well the only thing in common between the two is that both are supposed to deal with creation. Actually there is no reasonable ground to say the Biblical story of creation is related to the Babylonian. But it's entirely different with the flood. ~~EEEEEE~~ Because in the Babylonian story of the flood you don't merely have a great flood come and destroy the world -- I mean ~~as a story~~ like that could originate among any people from any local flood you could get a myth like that. But they are delivered not by going up to a high