

an argument that that word was in the original and got dropped out and was out of all the MSS for 1500 years, and then finally got into the one that Erasmus had! He has arguments, many of which are of that type. I have seen publications recently that said -- one publication said, within the next year everyone is going to have to decide whether he stands for the real Bible, the Textus Receptus or not. And of course if he stands for that he must read only the KJV.

I was going to bring over this a.m. a very beautiful little tract, titled Which ~~XXXXXX~~ Version? But it goes through the NASB taking up cases where it differs from the KV. For instance it will say, "Jesus" and the KJ says "Jesus Christ", and it will say, What have they got against the name "Christ"? Then further on it will say, "Jesus Christ" where the KJV says "Lord Jesus Christ" and he'll say, They don't like the word "lord" either. Then it will go on a little further and he'll say, My how they do hate the name Christ. He mentions this one about the heavenly witnesses and he'll say "they have left off this verse from the Bible altogether." One book on it is "God Wrote Only One Bible" which of course is utterly wrong, because the word Bible means book, and God wrote 66 books, not one. These books were inspired. We don't have an inspired collection of books; we have a collection of inspired books. God inspired 66 separate books which are combined into one; we do not have the original. But the way they have been preserved is most remarkable. When you think of copying and recopying and recopying ~~XXI~~ MSS this way through 1500 years, and when you look at the result, and you find that when a scribe comes to the term Jesus sometimes writes