

When I speak about people being influenced by their education I see very definitely this in the men with whom I have worked and we have decided many things by a vote of 4 to 3, and sometimes when I have thought the 4 was very definitely wrong. But everyone who is working with the NEV is someone who says he believes in all the ~~great~~ great Christian doctrines, and who says he believes the Bible is entirely true. It is the intention of it to have it be a Bible that is thoroughly true to Christian teaching. That is their intention. I have been a bit disappointed in the NT to find that in some cases it is actually a paraphrase. Like where it says (KJV) the spirit lusteth against the flesh, it says in NIV that the spirit fights against the sinful nature. And wherever the word flesh can mean meat or the fleshy part of the body or it can be used of the whole body, wherever that is used of our nature, it says (NIV) sinful nature. Now that's making their decision. I'd rather they would put a footnote and say here flesh refers to sinful nature. But that's what they have done and I was disappointed in it.

One thing I like that they have done, they have spoke as does this also, of Jesus as the only Son of God rather than as the only begotten Son of God. I saw a pamphlet recently attacking a recent version in which they had == the author said, Only God can have an onlybegotten Son. For a man who has been preaching for 40 yrs. I thought did he ~~h~~ ever read the book of Hebrews, where it says that Abraham was ready to sacrifice his only-begotten son. The word onlybegotten is used maybe a dozen times in the NT, maybe 4 or 5 times about Jesus, but all the other~~s~~