

as a whole. Their more obvious differences are differences (4th) as to methods of conducting ceremonies. On all such matters it always seems to me that if God had thought them important He could have in two verse of the Scripture have stated exactly what He desired so clearly that ~~if~~ no one who accepts the Bible would dream of differing on them.

Another rather conspicuous difference, more to the individuals in this these (?) denominations than to people outside, was a difference of types of organizations original Here we have at ~~one~~ ~~one~~ end of the spectrum we have the/idea of the Episcopal Church, the Church of England, in which the king was the supreme head of the church, and the archbishops and ~~the~~ other officials were subject one to another in a ~~hierarchy~~ hierarchical arrangement. King Charles I tried to establish a complete ~~control~~ control of the hierarchy over the beliefs and practices of the church, and the revolt against him went so far that during most of the past century the Church of England has gone to the opposite extreme, and ~~the~~ the control of ~~the~~ higher officials over lower ones, or of the church as a whole over individual churches congregations (?) has been ~~little~~ little more than nominal. Fifty years ago a minister an Episcopal rector in New York introduced dancing into the ceremony of the church, ~~and~~ and the bishop, who was a strong conservative, loudly rebuked him but could do nothing about it. He went right on with his practice and ignored him. At about the same time the bishop of Birmingham (Eng. or Ala?) wrote a book called Banish Gods from the Skies and Capitalism from the Earth, and publicly denied just about everything ~~in~~ in the Scripture, and the archbishop of Canterbury, who was supposedly supreme over all of the bishops, loudly declared that such views were wicked and completely out of place in the church, but, so far as I know, ~~no~~ nobody ever interfered; he had no real power to interfere in any way with the extreme anti-Chn° attitudes of the bishop of Birmingham. Thus in the Episcopal church an organization that was supposedly at one extreme of the spectrum moved to the other extreme in actual practice, without any change in official statements or declaration. Movements of this type, though generally