

But that's all we have-- these two verses. Then v. 19 says, "Then I would know the truth of the fourth beast." Then we have several vv. up to v. 22 in which Daniel recapitulates fill -- still in this vision and tells us again what he has already told us but he adds further detail.

So we have part of the vision retold with added detail. Very different from ch. 2 where Daniel tells Nebuchadnezzar what his vision is and then tells him what it means.

5. Symbolism and plain language are not so sharply separated in ch. 2. In ch. 2 everything in the vision is a symbol, everything in the interpretation, or practically everything, is given in clear language. But here they are not so sharply separated as is brought out by the fact in all these ~~statements~~ symbols we have a literal statement "he saw the (a) son of man coming in the clouds of heaven." We have literal statements mixed in ~~with~~ with the symbols here. We have literal statements here like that mixed in with symbols here which we did not have in ch. 2.

B. Though the symbolism is entirely different there ~~is~~ are four obvious ~~parallels~~ parallels with ch. 2. I think I'd better not mention these specifically. I think you all know them from a previous assignment. I'd better not mention them because I'm always telling other faculty members we should not run over time. I want myself not to go so late you do not have ample time to make the change from one class to another. So we will stop at this point.