

is predicted, look for one fulfillment. Now, of course, there are two exceptions. One is, if you say there will be great conquerors who will conquer many countries. That would include both Napoleon and Hitler because you have used the plural.

Or there can be a succession. They asked Moses, What shall we do? How shall we know the Lord's will after you are gone? He said God will raise up a prophet like unto me, him shall ye hear. After Moses was gone, God raised up a succession of prophets. How are we going to know God's will when you're gone Moses? Well, look to God to raise up a prophet. And God raised up one prophet after another, and as time went on the Jews understood that this succession of prophets that was predicted would have its culmination in the greatest of all the prophets.

So they came to John the Baptist and said, Are you that prophet? Are you the great climax of the succession of prophets? But in general I say, look for one fulfillment of any statement that is given. That is little aside, but it relates to the whole subject. So thank you for the question.

We have then these various remarkable fulfillments of the history tracing through telling about Antiochus III at length. Telling exactly about his son, Seleucus IV. Then telling about the other son, Antiochus IV at considerable length. We have all these things which when the events occurred you could see how it fit, but you would not know in advance ~~which~~ of the details of God plan.

So much for Antiochus Epiphanes.

5.Dan. 11:36-12:3. As I mentioned a few minutes ago, as I gave under No. 3, The Character and Accession of Antiochus Epiphanes, vv.21-24. Now we go back again to the description of the character of the king, v.36ff.

We have Antiochus' political activities in vv.25 to first part of v.30, and we have the political activities of the king given again in v.40-45. We have trouble and relief for God's people in v.30c-35 and in vv.1-3 of the next chapter. We have a section that could very well be called Trouble and Relief for God's People.

Of course the holder of the critical view insists that vv.36 on is still talking about Antiochus IV. We are still knowing about him. It is strange, but not impossible that you go back and tell all over again about his character after you have told these other things. It is strange but not impossible that having told so much about his career you go back and tell again about his career or about additional events in his career, and that having told a little about trouble and relief for God's people you again go into it. That is not at all impossible, but it doesn't fit. Most of what it says here has no precise fulfillment in Antiochus Epiphanes. So the critics are in great difficulty when they say it is still talking about Antiochus Epiphanes. So we have the question, If it is not talking about Antiochus Epiphanes, who is it talking about?