

will have nothing, I think is impossible! So to my opinion this problem of "to be cut off and have nothing" completely destroys Keil's and Leupold's view.

Finally, the solution that will fit the facts. The solution that will fit the facts where the facts are clear and will take the choice where a choice is possible, will be to take

1. The Word as not a human command, but a divine prediction. The prediction to which I already called your attention in Jer. 32:1, 42-44. Verse 1 shows it was in the last year before Jerusalem was destroyed which was long after Daniel had been taken to Babylon. Verses 42-44 show that God ordered Jeremiah then to say that in this place there would be business transacted and carried on again, which is a prediction of the re-establishment of Jerusalem. So we have the divine prediction of the re-establishment of Jerusalem which Jeremiah gave exactly 49 years before Cyrus made his edict. This thing of giving 3 parts to a prediction of which the first part had already been fulfilled is exactly like what we found in ch. 7 where the account of Nebuchadnezzar's insanity which had already occurred is described in the description of the 4th beast, and that which had already occurred and you could see it had already occurred gives you renewed assurance that the ~~xxx~~ rest will be carried out. ~~And~~ so that would be the first period of 7 weeks. Then there would an unmentioned interval, so you have a period of 62, a period of something around 400 years. Just when it starts you don't know. You have a general period that would clearly end before the return of Christ, but not much before. Or that would run to that and leave an unmentioned interval of 60 or 70 years. Then you have a break in between that and the second period. There is no mention in the passage of the start of the second period. This easily fits the