

then give him Farrell's book which has the imprimatur of the church, after he has denied it and it will make a far stronger argument.

But of course that is not what determines it. The question is, Did God say any human being should be the head of His church? God knows us all too well. Even tho we're saved, sin clings to us. Even tho we're saved, I don't see anyone here whom I think is completely sanctified! I've never met anybody who was, and if somebody told me he was I'd think it was pretty good proof he wasn't because certainly pride is something no truly sanctified person would have!

We are saved for all eternity as soon as we believe in Christ from the heart. As soon as we are regenerated we are freed from the guilt of all sin, past, present, and future. But the power of sin is still a vital force in our lives, and we need constantly to look to the Lord for strength.

I don't believe God wishes any man to be head over a great part of His church, but he does wish that all Christians should realize their unity with all other Christians. Eph. 4 I find most helpful in this connection. The way it stresses the unity of the body, and the importance of each member in the body with his particular task in representing the Lord and doing the Lord's will. One has one gift; one has another gift. One has no right to look down on another because his gift may seem to us less important. In God's sight it is just as important if he faithfully and sincerely exercises it.

So we believe in the unity of the Christian church, but we do not believe in a unified organization. But the ecumenical movement is not interested simply in a unified organization; it is interested in a unified organization which is controlled by these individuals who hold the particular views they are holding. Views which are hostile to what have been recognized all through the ages as true historic Christianity. So the ecumenical movement is not looking for a heaven beyond the skies; it is looking for a heaven right on earth. And it is looking to establish such a heaven. But most of the procedures that it advocates, result in producing the sort of results that the prohibition movement resulted in. As long as the hearts of men are wicked you can't legislate righteousness.

I was disgusted == get disgusted personally (perhaps I'm entirely unique in this )but I just get disgusted in reading of the millions of dollars that our government spends trying to keep heroin and marijuana out of the country, when at the same time they give free right to use all you want of a drug that as far as I know is worse than either one of them--alcohol! If a person wants to kill himself with heroin, that's one thing. But if he fills himself up with alcohol he'll kill a lot of other people through auto accidents. It seems to me that this is a worse menace than any of them as far as I know. In fact the greater part of them recently are such a menace is because the people who are addicted to them have to spend such tremendous sums to get them, that it makes criminals of them. And the pushers find such great gains in selling it that they try every means they can to make people addicts.

Now I don't know the answer to that. I don't think we'll succeed in any social method in establishing a heaven on earth.