

utterly wasted in this futile pursuit. If an area of thought is solidly == particularly an area of deductive thought is solidly based upon anti-Christian presuppositions it is worthwhile to show that it rests on a foundation of sand and is therefore intrinsically wrong. It is not ~~worth~~ worthwhile ^{to spend} a long time arguing about it and working into it == and trying to master its intricate details.

The proper task of apologetics is very well summed up in the appendix to Timm's(?) little book, Set Forth Your Case, where he shows the responsibility of the Christian world to investigate factual matters and areas where evidence enters in in which there is material that can be misinterpreted by those who approach it from an anti-Christian presupposition. Merely approaching it from a Christian presupposition does not give the answer. It is necessary == investigate it, study it carefully, get the details of it worked out, and present the conclusions in a way that ~~w~~ should appeal to any fair minded investigator.

It is indeed sad to see how these two areas: the area of true apologetics, and the ~~wid~~/ wider aspects of aspects of Systematic theology have been so neglected in recent years and continue to be.

2 Tim. 2: 23-26