

Dr. Ruchmacker. You know of him then? We read the book. It tells of his youth and his experience in Indonesia, his experiences during the World War. I found that very interesting. How he became a Christian --I found that interesting. But then as she describes his activities in the University of Amsterdam and other universities in which he spoke, there are parts of it in which you can see a real earnest Christian reaching many people and doing a tremendous lot for the Lord. But in between she tells a little about how he was teaching this year and the students thought he was dry and dull and he would not brook any disagreement with his ideas.

She just had to throw in here and there a few sections so they would not think she was making a book that was making a hero of a man, to show he was human or less than human. It seemed if you read those sections alone, why bother to write a story of such a man. When you read the section of what he really accomplished you are sure she has overdrawn it. She was carried away ~~xxx~~ by that tendency today that you've got to find out all the flaws. There are plenty of flaws in any of us but you don't need to write books to tell about it!

Lady: At Fuller Seminary which was where I met my husband.

AAM: You took a course there?

Lady: Yes, I was there for a year. In fact we were there in '62-63-64 when everything happened. We were right in the middle of the inerrancy question. We were one of the last classes who studied under Wilbur Smith and Gleason Archer and Lindsell. They all left, while we were there.

AAM: Was Woodbridge there?

Lady: No. He was only part of the earlier history of the seminary/ But that was the year Dan Fuller came back from overseas and brought _____ and dispensed with the inerrant Bible essentially.

AAM: What a shame.

Lady: And changed the statement of faith. I didn't understand the issue at all when I was there. I think there was probably more known of it everywhere except there. It seemed like a semantic issue the little bit I was able to glean when I was there. That may have been partly my own ignorance too.

AAM: The change had occurred before, but it now had gained complete control, and became evident.

Lady: I'm still not certain when all the change took place. It seems that Dan Fuller was really the catalyst. That was when he came back. But certainly their minds were open to it or the change would not have happened, or there would not as many of them have stayed.

AAM: Have you read the account of it in Lindsell's Book, Babble for the Bible?

Lady: Bits and pieces of it.

AAM: It was sad. I often think if I'd gone to Fuller would it have made any difference? I don't know whether it would have or not? When I heard what happened I thought it was fortunate I didn't, but you never know what the Lord might have done. I got a letter from Ockenga and he named four men of whom I was one and he said, If these four will come we'll start a seminary next year. If they won't all come, we'll