

himself was appointed to the Supreme Court. Before long Prof. Frankfurter was in opposition to his own students because Frankfurter while they had gotten their outlook, their orientation, from him, he felt that the purpose of the Court was to interpret the law.

The purpose of the court was to see what the constitution meant and apply it. So he held to what they called a strict construction. I don't think this= taking this as meaning no prayer in the schools is not a loose construction. It's no construction at all. It's just a throwing it aside. That's the attitude that has come into our Supreme Court in recent years. It can make the most queer interpretation of phrases in the constitution in order to make any conclusion that this was their idea of the direction in which the country should go. I'm sure the framers of the constitution never dreamed that laws of congress supported by the overwhelming majority of the people would be overturned by a 5 to 4 vote of the Supreme Court! But that is what has been done in recent years, over and over (again).

I saw an ad and saw it repeated several times on TV. This ad has two very nice pictures. It has a picture of Ronald Reagan and right next to it it has a very nice picture of Jerry Falwell. It says underneath, Ronald Reagan and Jerry Falwell invite you to a party on Nov. 4(--6th--). Then it goes on to say, If you are going to stand with these people it means there are going to be Supreme Court judges appointed who will take the following stands: No abortion even in cases of rape and incest; no ERA; no verifiable nuclear freeze. How terrible that would be!

That brings to our attention the fact that several of the members of the Supreme Court are 75 or more years of age, and look older than that (laughter). It is altogether probable that at least a third of the members of the Supreme Court will be replaced in the next 4 yrs. What these men favor will establish the tone of the statements == decisions of the courts all through this country perhaps for the next 30 years. Wouldn't it be terrible if Jerry Falwell was allowed to select supreme court justices!!! Well of course he won't.

But there is a possibility that supreme court justices will be appointed whom Jerry Falwell considers in line with morality and in line with justice, and in line with the desire to interpret the constitution as it stands. On the other hand there is a strong possibility that judges with quite an opposite attitude will be appointed. So because of the elements in our country in recent yrs. and because of the possibility, yes the extreme probability that whoever is ~~xxxxxxx~~ elected president will appoint the majority of the supreme court and that this will determine the direction of judicial decisions in this country for the next 20 or 30 years this is one of the most important elections in American history!

It is very important that Christians take a stand in this election and decide what sort of an America ~~x~~ you would like to have in the future.