

I 14

in 5 and 6. That is a possibility, certainly. Now 5 and 6 seem to be a unit, don't they? Whether 5 and 6 are elaborating what is described in 4 the passage of the glory of Jacob, or whether they are discussing the, Damascus having left Israel after 4; in either case the two of them seem to go together, don't they? They say there is going to be destruction. Wouldn't you say that that expresses v. 5 in brief fashion? There is going to be loss, rather, instead of destruction. There is going to be tremendous loss. I should think that would just about cover 5, and 6, but the loss will not be complete. There will be a small remains. That would surely say what 6 is. Well, now if you say that you think that it would surely seem likely that v. 7 would follow immediately after 5 and 6, wouldn't you think? V. 7 would seem likely, unless you are going to say 4 is talking about Israel and 5 and 6 go over to Damascus, and then in 7 we come back to Israel. Unless you are going to say that, 7 would seem to be related to 5 and 6, wouldn't you think likely, Mr.---? (Student) V. 6 might be the godly remnant. (Student) V. 5 is not any remnant. 5 is simply loss. That is, you are suggesting the possibility that 6 is not merely a remnant but is a godly remnant. In v. 7 it couldn't be those who are gone, it would have to be those who are left, and whether they are a godly remnant or just a remnant ^{there} is/something to indicate--whether there is a godly remnant or just a remnant? Of course, the branches wouldn't have to mean anything spiritual necessarily. You take a big tree which has fruitful branches, and a big wind comes along and shakes the fruitful branches and there is nothing left except in the outermost of the fruitful branches, just four or five little berries in the uppermost bough and in the outermost fruitful branches. It wouldn't say anything about there being a difference between these and the rest of them that are dropped. It would indicate that whatever is referred to in V. 7 is not yes, but would it necessarily have a great deal to do with v. 6? Does v. 7 say