

Smith says in page 27 that there are several characteristics of which he is going to mention for it is most easily recognized by the English students, a little and then ~~the explanation~~ explanation and he will be able to trace them at least in to part in his English Bible. Then _____. What is the explanation and then he will be able to ... What is the first characteristic: "There are large sections of Genesis in some portions of the first five chapters of Exodus in which the name "Yaweh" is used systematically. There are other sections within the same limits the name "Elohim," with equal consistency. ^{Both} ~~Those~~ names are used elsewhere in the Old Testament, of course, but their appearances in this portion of Genesis Pentateuch seems to follow a definite plan, so that ~~Scholars~~ came to be convinced many years ago that they were dealing with two different documents. ^{upon} Suppose, for instance, one should come to the early history of American Colony which were considerable space, the name N.. was used for New World. And then for the space of some chapters the name suddenly should readily be changed "New England." It is our curiosity. We should probably undertake to make it sure that ~~both~~ both ref- names refer to the same land, having done so, we should be apt to conclude that one author has written _____ section, and another has written the New England section. At least we should decide that the two sections represented the two ~~written~~ different viewpoints. This is something similar to the thing that happened in the case of the use of ~~2-2~~ 2 and half in Genesis and Exodus 1 to 5. "In the next question, ... under the next question Smith explains that what he means by Yaweh is what is represented in the American ~~Standard~~ Standard Version, 1900- 1901 and by Jehovah. And in the King James Version by LORD or GOD. By what is called Elohim is what is represented by the word God. Here is an illustration. _____ ~~and~~ and New England is a hardly fair illustration. In that case it is a/ two words from ~~the~~ languages, whereas these two names of God come from the same ~~language~~ language. Furthermore, the picture that he gives is hopelessly oversimplified. Actually what the ~~critical~~ critics say is that Genesis comes from three different documents, the J document, the E document and P document. Except for the first few changes they give very little material to P. Most of it being J and E. According to their view, the document