he is not to speak a tonight." And she seemed quite disappointed and she said, "Well, can you tell me then who is going to?" And he said, "Wilbur Smith," and she said, "Oh, I don't care anything about hearing him." It seemed to me a funny strange think to bother to tell in an aubiography. I remember his book on Heaven in which he says that he will not here discuss the whereast question of whether we will know our loved ones in heaven because it seems to him rather foolish to even raise such a quantum question. Actually his book on heaven seems to me, glancing at it, to be mainly a series of quotations from various hymns.

On the whole I believe Wilbur's message was a good and wholesome message, and he certainly exalted God's Word and I believe his influence was on the whole beneficial and I am grateful for it. In general, I would rather fax that the unfortunate relations I was occasionally had with him should be forgotten, but I thought I'd make this rather complete. One other occurs to me: I remember he said once, at the end of oen of our meetings, he said, "Instead of meeting for five days we are to meet for a whole week, and then the Oxford Press should pay for putting us into the hospital for two weeks to recover." I It sounded as if he thought the meetings were a burden. Personally I enjoyed the discussions so very much that I would have been glad to do it for nothing.

and the control of the state of

and a second of the second

and the second s

and the state of t