

Speiser, Genesis, p. 189

On Genesis 25.1-4^a--- "Nevertheless, in summary notices of this sort, the documentary analysis is more uncertain than elsewhere, and must so be labeled in the present instance."

p. 341 There is thus at least a fair presumption that vss. 16-27 are to be attributed to E, and the rest to J; but since we cannot put it more definitely, it has seemed best to omit the usual source markers in the translation."

Addis, Documents . . . , Vol. I, p. 165

On Numbers 13. Attempts have been made to separate the component documents, especially by Wellhausen, Dillmann, Kesters . . . and Meyer . . . But the task seems to be hopeless, and there is nothing like agreement as to results.

p. 169 On Num. 20.1-13 Here we have one of the few instances in which the documents of the 'Oldest Book of Hebrew History' have been inextricably entangled, not, as is often the case, with each other, but with the narrative of the 'Priestly Writer.'

Skinner, Genesis ICC, p. 3 " . . . to unravel perfectly the various strands of narrative may be a task for ever beyond the resources of literary criticism." / 7

Geo. F. Moore, "Exodus" in Encyclopedia Biblica Vol. II, p.1442

An exhaustive analysis which would assign every clause or verse to its author, leaving no insoluble remainders, is impossible. The utmost that we can expect to accomplish is to distinguish the main features of the parallel narratives; and even in regard to these great uncertainty often remains. 8

G. Fohrer IOT, p.144/8 the original place of C within E can in fact no longer be ascertained.