Historical beeks- Several years have passed, since I. Lewy prepesed, under the title The Birth of the Bible, a nevel solution to the problem of the Pentateuch. Since the criticism has been rather reticent (1. cf., fer example, RB, LVIII, 1950, p.271), the author has wished to prop his thesis by more developed proofs and has written The Grewth of the Pentateuch. It is a paradexical beek, since according to his plan this "growth" is described backwards, by beginning with some elements estimated as the most recent. Three deminant ideas are expesed: all of the Pentateuch is previous to the Exile. except some rare additions; the Pentateuch is not the product of a combination of independent sources, but it is the development of one fundamental writing which has been annetated, revised, re-edited through the epochs and in different environents; the principle stages of its development can be derived by the historical personalities of which psychology and preoccupations explain the centradictions of the final work.

And here are the results: Meses is not the author of the Decalegue. The latter, by prophetic inspiration, is essentially a Decalegue of the prophet Nathan, which has afterwards received some annotations. On the contrary, some elements of the Code of Alliance are traced to Meses, in the measure in which it is apedictic, Draconian,