

ALBRIGHT, W. F.

- 3.17-8 Arch. Hist. Analogy &
(-16) Early Biblical Tradition
- 3.17-9 Ibid
- 3.21-49 Ibid
- 17.1-11 Ibid (MT not fixed early enough for critical analysis)
- 3.17-8⁷ both conservatives & liberals
wrong on dating of Pent.
- 3.17-8⁹ Wellhausen splitting of single
verses rightly protested against.
- 3.17-9² re Abraham
- 3.17-9³ lag re archaeology evidence
- 3.17-9⁵ Canaanite alphabet texts
- 3.17-9⁷ Qumran scrolls
- 3.17-9⁸ date of Patriarchal stories
- 3.17-9¹⁰ can't classify literary sources
on basis of content alone
- 3.17-10³ Beno Rothenberg's Wilderness of God
- 3.17-10⁴ donkey caravan trade
- 3.17-10⁷ Wm Sargant's Battle for the Mind cf 3.17.15¹
- 3.17-11² analysis on basis of Divine Names
useless in Samuel
- 3.17-11⁷ impossible to carry out close critical
analysis popular in late 19th Cent. *Cf. 17.1-12*
- 3.17-12⁴ scribal omissions caused by
- 3.17-12⁷ Samuel both a judge & a diviner
- 3.17-13⁵ attitude of Sam toward the monarchy
- 3.17-14 meaning of nagid
- 3.17-16⁵ Eissfeldt correct on date of Deut. 32
but wrong that Sam's relig. was semi-pagan
- 3.17-15¹ impossible today to maintain today re
date of Song of Miriam
- 3.17-15⁵ Gerar
- 3.17-15⁸ amphictyonia applied to Israel
- 3.17-15⁹ Wellhausen proven wrong