

DILTHEY

3.01-19⁹ change of approach

DISAGREEMENT BETWEEN SCHOLARS

6.2-9 not two J sources (Winnett)
 6.5-3⁴ Harrelson vs Pfeiffer
 6.5-3⁵ cited by C. R. North
 7.17⁵ Simpson vs Baentsch
 14.12² Pfeiffer vs G. F. Moore
 14.5-13⁶ Albright vs Noth
 17.2-4 Robertson vs Irvin
 17.2-1⁶ de Vaux vs Wellhausen
 17.1-5⁷ Hooke vs Wellhausen
 17.1-5⁵ Albright vs Wellhausen
 17.2-9⁸ Muilenberg vs Wellhausen
 17.2-15 L, K, S (Rowley)
 20.01³ Ezekiel
 20.01¹⁰ re Exod 19.5 source
 20.03³ on Date of P
 20.04⁴ vs Scandinavian
 20.04⁵ P earlier than D
 5.7-7¹⁰ Eichrodt vs Von Rad
 5.2-25⁷ indicated (GWA)
 5.2-36³ on how far J continued
 his narrative
 6.5-17 recounted by Goldman
 5.2-38⁷ Ackerman re Gn.11.27-12.9
 JBL 12 '68,402 n8 disagrees with
 usual lit. analysis

9.01-1³ re four-source theory (Eissf)
 7.50⁵ re lit. analysis of D
 6.4-5² as to historical reality un-
 derlying patr. narratives
 6.4-5⁵ re patriarchal narratives
 17.2-26 Sandmel vs Von Rad
 6.2-5⁵ J or E earlier (?)

DISCREDITED THEORIES

12.02⁶ Goldziher
 14.3-5⁷ Gray
 17.2-22
 3.01-1⁵ re Beowulf
 3.17-2³ of Benj. Lee Whorf
 3.21-31⁷ re evol. in lit. hist
 3.26-3,5a¹⁰ communal origin of Ballads
 3.26-8 " " " "
 3.41-6⁶ day of patchwork theories is past
 3.41-15⁵ atomization of ancient lit. documents
 3.41-60 date when tide began to turn against
 destructive analysts (of Homer)
 3.51-11⁵ Schlegel's by Joseph Bedier
 3.51-13⁶ Lachmann's
 3.52-3⁴ disentangling lays of Beowulf
 3.52-7⁹ 19th cent. dismemberment of epics
 3.29-12³ evolution
 3.52-11⁵ Mullenhoff's re Beowulf
 3.52-16⁴ " " "
 3.81-2⁴ re Shakespeare
 3.91-11³ disillusionment with "scientific" methods
 3.94-1¹ Neibuhr's theory re legends of Roman kings

3.01-21³ "word clusters" fallacious
 3.01-22¹⁻³ of Lamarch re biology
 3.01-22⁵ De Vries "mutation theory"
 3.01-22⁷⁻¹⁰ re "Ethan Brand"
 3.21-49⁴ re N-rays (Albright)
 3.86-6⁷ Scherer's rule of thumb
 6.4-5¹ extreme views of pan-Babylonian
 school (Patriarchs astral myths)
 3.93-12³ evolutionary literary history
 3.92-13⁵ " " "
 3.93-18⁵ Rohde's theory of development
 3.93-20 Brunetiere's theory of literary
 genres.
 6.5-19⁷ theory of W once held the field