

EPPELSHEIMER, H.

3.29-12³ best one vol. bibl. on world lit.

EROSION OF WELLHAUSENISM (see Wellhausen)

4.1-1⁶

EVIDENCE

3.31-20 internal "slippery"(Altick)
3.41-19² no basis for dissection of
Iliad & Odyssey(Starr)
3.42-70⁷ difficulty of internal
3.31-29 Internal e. & Eliza.Dramatic Authorship
3.31-29 greater value of in. ev. over ex. evid.
3.31-30 success w. methods of int. evid.
3.31-29(-30) Schoenbaum's work on int. evid.

EVOLUTION

14.02⁶ re religion in disfavor
14.03⁵ of Wellhausen discarded today
14.05¹⁰ called a "former view"
14.07⁶ tied up with JEDP theory cf. 3.21-29
14.3-1³ fallacy now widely recognized
14.3-2³ rejected by scholars(G. Henton Davies)
14.3-5³ critics of evol. historicism.
14.5-3³ rejected by historians today (J. Smart)
14.5-4² misinterpretation of literature of O.T.
14.5-5,6⁶ rejected by Wright as "too simple"
14.5-6⁷ called a handicap to scholars
14.5-8 evolutionary approach has survived(Freedman)
14.5-9⁸ has no foundation
17.1-3⁴ cf. 14.5-8
17.1-4² not dominate our age; once fashionable
17.2-3⁶ untenable presuppositions
17.2-5³ not in straight line(Albright)
17.2-5⁵ oscillation(Albright)
17.2-8⁶ weakness of
17.2-10³ God not bound by (Hooke)
17.2-23⁴ call to abandon it
3.01³ concept in lit. almost disappeared today(Wellek)
3.01⁴ revolt against 19th cent. method of lit. study
3.01-19⁴ applied to literature
3.17-1⁵ discounted by Albright
3.21-1⁵ historicism (Albright)
3.21-2 discounted by Albright
17.1-13² reaction against(DeVries)
3.93-12³ concept in lit. rejected
3.93-13⁵ concept misleading in lit.hist.
3.93-20⁴ concept "