

~~(2)~~ 2e

the sun, you must get a revelation of that which is not accessible to you, but was seen by someone else.

In dealing with facts that we cannot reach, we have to use primarily the method of revelation. But in science, revelation is also used. And used a great deal. That is what I consider to be the proper sense of the word "revelation." So the difference is not a complete and total difference. It is a difference of emphasis. A difference of the ^{degree} Greek. You remember the old story about the blind men in India who saw an elephant, but they didn't actually see it because they were blind. They came to the place where the elephant was. Because blind men often "see" with their sense of touch, each one walked up experimentally to the elephant and touched it. Unfortunately, each one touched in a different place. One touched the side and exclaimed, "Oh, I see, it is like a wall." The second one touched a leg, and said, "Oh, I see, an elephant is like the trunk of a tree." Another touched its tail, and cried, "Oh, I see, an elephant is like a rope!" And so on they went, each one touching at a different part of the elephant's body, and consequently, each one received a different impression of what an elephant ~~is/like~~ was. A blind man can have no idea of what an elephant is unless someone talks to him and explains the relation of all of these impressions. He must have revelation, communication. Someone has to tell him what an elephant does, how he lives in the jungle, how he procreates, how he digests his food, what he is like when dissected. He cannot learn these things by feeling the one part of an elephant. He must get a revelation, a communication from someone else. Revelation truly means communication, and the