

R 6

about it, I don't know what lawful government is. Lawful government be removed. Was Hitler a lawful ruler in Germany? Certainly Hitler was a real type of the antichrist. He was a real picture of what antichrist will be. How did he get his power? He got it through an election. He gained power, certainly, in a lawful. Certainly we had lawful government in Germany. Do we have lawful government in Russia? Well, Stalin gained his power through a revolution, or Lenin did, but Stalin has held his power in a course of a lot of elections in which the people go and they vote for him or else they're put in a concentration camp, but they do vote. There is a form of lawful government. Well, if you say lawful government means a real democracy where people have a chance to pick their ruler, well, where has there ever been lawful government in the world aside from a very few countries in very recent years? Certainly, the Roman empire then was not a lawful government because the emperor received the power in defiance of the constitution of the Roman empire. They seized and they held the power by force. There certainly was no lawful government in the sense of something resting on the free consent of the government in the Roman empire. I question whether, in a true sense, there has been lawful government at very many periods of the world history, and I question whether at any time it has covered enough of the world to be a means of preventing the manifestation of antichrist and I question whether, from what we know of the dictators who have come into existence in the past, whether something that follows the form of lawful government will not be the very thing that the antichrist will use. It would seem to be the very outgrowth of the system, the governmental systems, so that it would seem to me that we would be very foolish to build too much on this verse but it seems to me we have a very natural, reasonable interpretation of the verse which says the rapture of the church is the thing which will make the manifestation of antichrist possible, an interpretation of the verse which may be true and may not, but we have two other suggested interpretations which just don't seem to me to make sense. Now, perhaps there is another one yet that is the true interpretation, but I've just never heard