

I mentioned to you last time how he divided the Anabaptists into different groups - the first he calls the Kiliastic Anabaptists and I don't like the term for this reason that I like the word KILIASM as another term for pre-millennialism and pre-millennialism is a belief that Jesus Christ ~~will~~ is coming back to set up His kingdom but these were men who believed as many groups had in the Middle Ages, that the Kingdom is to be set up but men on earth are going to set it up and they are going to destroy opposition and set up the Kingdom of Christ and that is not a true Kiliast not a true pre-millennialism at all. That is a view which many of the creeds of the church in later years specifically denied, the view that believers are to set up the Kingdom. Now that's the first group and then the second he calls the Soundly Biblical Anabaptists and in this group of Soundly Biblical Anabaptists, it's strange it's here he tells - no it's in the first group he tells about the (8.75) and then in this second group he tells about the various leaders and then he tells about the Mennonites. And telling about these various groups every now and then he'll have a little sentence, he'll say, "This group although they practiced" - now here I'll read you what he says about Hummelmeier(?). He says, "Hummelmeier was almost alone among contemporary Anti-pedo Baptists in agreeing with modern Baptists regarding oaths, (8.25) warfare, and the right of Christians to hold private property. Except in his practice of affusion(?) as the act of baptism, his position is hardly distinguishable from that of modern Baptists and few writers of any age have, with this exception, more ably expounded the distinctive principles of the Baptists." But this man Newman says practiced baptism by affusion; that is, among these Anabaptists while they insisted on baptizing after a certain age had been reached rather than as infants, very few of them held to immersion - very few of them.