the leader, but the Pope can err in a matter of fact. We look through the book of Jansen and we don't find these doctrines he's condemning. There not in the book." Well most people today think they were in the book only not word for word. But these Jansenist leaders continued to teach their same doctrines which (1.5) the words that the Pope had ⟨XXX⟩ XXX condemned, and they said, "What the Pope has condemned is not what we're teaching and they said, "Actually we're not doing wrong in following Jansen because XXX Jansen didn't teach what the Pope is condemning."XSo on that excuse, they pretended to still be loyal to the Pope and at the same time to be continuing their doctrines. Now among the Jansenists at Fout Royal there was a man who was one of the great scientists of the world's history. Any list - if you ever see a book put out or a magazine article by the Roman Catholics telling of the great achievements of Roman Catholicism, if they mention the great scientists who have been Roman Catholics, if they mention 50 scientists or if they mention 10 - I don't care how many or how few they mentions - they're almost sure to mention XXXXXX Blais Pasqual?as one because they are very proud of the fact that this great scientist was a Roman Catholic - Pascah - he was a Frenchman who had tremendous mathematical ability and in mathematics and in physics he made great advances which are recognized as epochal in those sciences. Now it is rare indeed that a man is a great scientist and also a great literary man and yet the strange thing is that this man, Pascah, who did so much in science, though he wrote comparatively little, what little he wrote is recognized as among the gems of French literature. Voltaire, the great French sceptic, opponent of all religion, spoke of Pascal's provincial letters as being from a literary viewpoint even xaxthesuperior to the plays of Moliere, the great French dramatist. He said, "Their wit, their cleverness, their

(3.5) their satire is unparalleled." Someone has said